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Introduction

Our purpose was to reveal basic patterns of school community learning in Hungary and identifying feasible and desirable changes.

Hypotheses were:
A. School community learning situations are – at least at a minimum level – known in the school work. Their extent is unknown.
B. Ecoschools may use community learning situations more extensively than non-ecoschools.
C. „Smallscale” ecoschools (village schools and schools with no parallel classes) perform better in school community interactions than big ones, because of their stronger links and higher community importance or because of the lower level of alienation in villages.
D. Before 2010 (when we reformulated the Hungarian ecoschool criteria, i.e. included more local and community-related aspects) the school community performance levels were lower than after it.

Methods

First we analysed ecoschool-community learning situations in 2013 through a questionnaire (see attached), then compared important activities to the relevant content of the School Pedagogical Programme (SPP). All schools’ SPP are available at a central register. Based on the former years ecoschool title awards, we could establish a dataset. We chose the years 2009 and 2011 for comparison, and used all award criteria that were similar to or compatible with the questionnaire. The dataset includes 154 schools from 2009 and 2013, and 229 schools from 2011. For testing hypothesis C, medium schools and average performance were excluded (i.e. we included only the highest and the lowest school community performance level groups and school size group quintiles onward).

Second we checked the SPP and the dataset similarity, to explore whether SPP-s can be used as control data source.

Third we accomplished a Delphi method survey and a parallel document review to draft the state of the art, and reveal strengths and weaknesses of ecoschool practice in school community learning. We got 59 environmental education experts in the Delphi records, analysed 7 EU countries’ practice and 11 Hungarian school environmental education handbooks.

And fourth we registered all the community based learning practices of the ongoing pedagogical development (see all the photos).

Backgrounds: Nine years after the first Eco-School was named in Hungary, there are almost 700 title holders within the 48 hundred schools. The Eco-School Program managed by the Hungarian Institute of Educational Research and Development builds on the ENSI achievements, and relates to the UN DESD as well. Eco-Schools take sustainability as base value.
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Results

School-community scores of small ecoschools were 12.3; 15.0 and 16.5 in the studied years, and 11.6; 15.7 and 16.0 of the big ones. SD (standard deviation) of these scores in 2013 were higher within small schools than within big ones. Small ecoschools did not perform statistically different level of community learning than big ones (Hypothesis B rejected). Big, urban schools having more teachers, more students and much more possibilities showed a continuous advancement in this area.

Four of the ten shortcomings show the weak school-community relationship and the many realizable potentials at the same time. An actual list of shortcomings and the innovative success criteria as well as the development directions of environmental education were laid down as well (see attached).

School practice is very diverse (see best topics attached) as the local opportunities and the teachers are. Teachers are grateful for ideas and development directions derived from Delphi experts, and are ready to use the newly developed ecoschool learning modules, of which (see Hypothesis A) 41% were based on school-community learning opportunities.

There was a big step in school-community learning after 2010, however it was a short-term effect only. The renewed Ecoschool criteria invigorated school activity (Hypothesis D accepted).

Conclusions

Based on the development directions and the shortcomings, we refined the proposals for innovative teachers and schools.

School-community interactions are inevitable for schools' focus on sustainable development. Now 51% of the newly developed learning modules relate to local community.

A closer focus and an intense communication on the new local aspects of the reformed ecoschool criteria are needed – independently of school size or location.

We started to communicate and resolve the shortcomings of the Hungarian environmental education. To change these patterns, more efforts are needed mainly within teacher education and training.

Instead of SPP-s, we should continue our questionnaire based research within non-ecoschools.

Feedbacks welcome to konczey.reka@olf.hu